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### 1.0 Perspective

- Advertising is a weapon in the competition between firms
- Creating \& securing a brand identity can be helpful to consumers
- Consumers may have ataste for variety; each consumer may like a different version of a particular product
- Advertising can match consumers with the version they most prefer
- But advertising can also be an uninformative and wasteful form of competition
- Evaluation of advertising's competitive role requires an understanding or clear model of how advertising works
- Consider a simple model where firms can either spend alittle or alot on advertising
- If advertising by one firm largely cancels the advertising of its rival, then this can result in an "advertising" war with both firms spending excessively on advertising


UK Total Media Ad Spending Share, by Media, 2014-2020
\% of total

|  | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Digital | 47.5\% | 50.7\% | 53.1\% | 55.2\% | 57.1\% | 58.6\% | 60.0\% |
| - Mobile | 14.8\% | 21.2\% | 27.0\% | 32.4\% | 37.7\% | 41.6\% | 44.4\% |
| TV | 25.3\% | 25.2\% | 24.6\% | 23.7\% | 22.8\% | 22.1\% | 21.5\% |
| Print | 18.2\% | 15.4\% | 13.8\% | 12.9\% | 12.2\% | 11.5\% | 11.0\% |
| -Newspapers* | 13.3\% | 11.1\% | 10.0\% | 9.3\% | 8.8\% | 8.3\% | 7.9\% |
| -Magazines* | 4.9\% | 4.3\% | 3.9\% | 3.6\% | 3.4\% | $3.2 \%$ | 3.1\% |
| Out-of.home | 6.7\% | 6.6\% | 6.4\% | 6.2\% | 6.0\% | 5.9\% | 5.7\% |
| Radio** | 2.3\% | 2.2\% | 2.1\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 1.8\% |

Note: numbers may not add up to 100\% due to rounding: *print only;
"excludes off-air radio \& digital
Source: eMarketer: March 2016
205717


## Information and Advertising

- For those interested in behavioral economics, advertising would make a useful application
- Traditional neoclassical approaches are poorly suited for understanding advertising
- In standard microeconomic analysis, the utility function is taken as given - you can inform a consumer about agood but you cannot change his taste for it
- In reality, most advertising is persuasive, not informative: it is designed to change consumer tastes
- We lack a good understanding of how this occurs


## A Structural Model of Advertising

- Economists who study advertising typically assume it shifts the consumer demand curve in some fashion, but this is a "reduced form" model
- A structural model would allow a researcher to assess how advertising works at the micro level (in the brain, perhaps)
- Such a model might allow firms to better optimize their advertising strategies, and it would inform policy makers about the potential impacts of alternative advertising policies
- For every price p advertising shifts the demand function upwards.


Advertising expenditures over sales are three times larger for experience than for search goods (Nelson, 1974)

Informative advertising


Advertising
"Informative vs
Persuasive!!!
Both of two types are important!

Persuasive advertising

## Possible forms for advertising sales relationship

The appropriate functional form is the one that provides the best statistical fit to our dataset.

$$
Q=b_{0}+b_{1} A \quad Q=b_{0}+b_{1} A+b_{3} A^{2}
$$

$$
Q=b_{0}+b_{1} A+b_{2} A^{2}+b_{3} A^{3}
$$



## Persuasive advertising (competition)

- Advertising as a public good: afirm's advertising campaign benefits all the sellers in the industry.
-Free-riding effects lead to less advertising than desirable.
-Solution: cooperative advertising.
- Advertising falls as industry becomes less concentrated.
- Advertising as a private good: a firm's advertising campaign steals demand from other firms.
- Over-investment in advertising; Prisoner's dilemma type of situation.
- Advertising has typically both roles: which effect is stronger? Too much or too little advertising?
-Concentrated industries: not so easy to steal business, free-riding effect dominates: too little.
-In less-concentrated industries, large incentives to steal business dominates free-riding: too much


## Impact of Advertising on Demand

The appropriate functional form is the one that provides the best statistical fit to our dataset.


## Some Definitions

- Advertising is closely related to the existence of branding. Branding means that a firm creates and identity for each self and highlights the way in which it differs from its rivals.
- Markets sometimes respond to problem of asymmetric information in many ways. One of them is signaling, which refers to actions taken by an informed party for the sole purpose of credibly revealing his private information (high quality products). But obviously is costly.
- When an uniformed party takes action to induce the informs party to reveal private information, the phenomenon called screening.
- Asymmetric information, Valentine's day and signaling!!

The effect of a advertising campaign


## Simultaneous adjustmentof Price-Advertising

We assume zero marginal costs.
Wehave asimultaneously price-advertising


## Optimal Prices for successive advertising



Optimal Prices for successive advertising


Optimal Prices for successive advertising


## Optimal Prices and Advertising levels



Optimal Prices andAdvertisinglevels (Production $P$ (TMSC+M/C LOStS) LASC

## Advertising Intensity 1



## Advertising Intensity II



## Advertising Intensity III

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi(Q, A)=Q(p, a) p-T C(Q)-a \\
& \frac{\partial \Pi(Q, A)}{\partial p}=0 \Leftrightarrow \ldots \Leftrightarrow \frac{M C}{p}=\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon} \\
& \left.\frac{\partial \Pi(Q, A)}{\partial a}=\left.0 \Leftrightarrow \ldots \Leftrightarrow \eta\right|^{( } 1-\frac{M C}{p}\right)=\frac{a}{p Q}=\frac{a}{R} \\
& \quad \frac{a}{R}=\eta\left(\frac{p-M C}{p}\right)=\frac{\eta}{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

More advertising the greater the price-to-cost margin
More advertising when demand is more sensitive to advertising expenditures

## Relationship

|  | $\mathbf{\eta} / \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ | $\mathbf{a} / \mathbf{R}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Coffee | 0.019 | 0.020 |
| Beer | 0.008 | 0.011 |
| Cigarettes | 0.019 | 0.046 |
| Soap | 0.013 | 0.012 |
| Toothpaste |  |  |

## Advertising asWasteful Competition

## Example of a Wasteful Advertising War-Increasing advertising is a solution



Nash Equilibrium is for both firms to choose the high level of advertising expenditures. Th is does not maximize their joint profit. Each firm's advertising undoes the promotional effo rts of its rival. The result is excessive advertising that largely cancels itself out with little gai n to consumers and lower profit for firms

## Advertising and market structure

- How the market structure influence advertising and price elasticity?
- The higher the number of firm's participants in the market the higher the price elasticity. More competitive markets lead to less price cost margin and thus lower level of advertising intensity.
- On the other hand examining advertising elasticity, higher concentration in the market leads to lower levels of advertising intensity.


## Advertising as abarrierto entry

- It is widely supposed that advertising and promotional efforts operate to raise or maintain barriers to the entry of potential competition (consumers loyalty and reluctant to switch in to their products).
- So the entrants should spend more or decide to not entry because of negative level of expected profits (Comanor and Wilson, 1979).
- Bain (1956): A minimum amount of advertising is required to enter some industries in order to reach a "threshold" level of consumer awareness. The building of brand image (cigarettes, detergents, soft drinks, beer).
- Consider afree-entry Cournot model: Demand is $P=A-b Q$ and total cost is $C(q)=a+f+c q$. The equilibrium number of firms is:

$$
n=\frac{A-c}{\sqrt{b(f+a)}}-1
$$
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