

Problem 7(Day 4)

Monday, July 28, 2025 11:46 PM

$$A^{2023} = A^* A - AA^*$$

Let $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be the eigenvalues of A

$$\text{Take } k \in \mathbb{N}^*: (x) \Rightarrow A^{2023+k} = A^k A^* A - A^{k+1} A^*$$

$$\text{tr}(A^{2023+k}) = \text{tr}(A^k A^* A) - \text{tr}(A^{k+1} A^*) = 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$\lambda_1^{2023+k} + \dots + \lambda_n^{2023+k} = 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

Assume without loss of generality $\lambda_i \neq 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

Assume that $\exists l \in \mathbb{N}^*: \lambda_1^m + \dots + \lambda_n^m = 0 \quad \forall m \geq l$

$$\text{Consider } P(\lambda) = \lambda^{l-1}(\lambda - \lambda_1) \dots (\lambda - \lambda_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k \lambda^{k+l-1}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n P(\lambda_j) = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k \lambda_j^{k+l-1} = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_k \lambda_j^{k+l-1} = 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^{k+l-1} = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_0 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^{l-1} \right) = 0$$

$$\text{but } \alpha_0 = (-1)^n \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n \neq 0, \text{ hence } \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^{l-1} = 0$$

Therefore by induction $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^i = 0 \Rightarrow n=0$ contradiction

So far $\lambda_j = 0 \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$\vec{v} \in \ker A \Rightarrow A\vec{v} = \vec{0}$$

$$(*) \Rightarrow A^{2023} \vec{v} = A^* A \vec{v} - A A^* \vec{v} \Rightarrow A A^* \vec{v} = \vec{0} \Rightarrow$$

$$\vec{v}^* A A^* \vec{v} = 0 \Rightarrow (A \vec{v})^* A^* \vec{v} = 0 \Rightarrow \|A \vec{v}\|_2^2 = 0 \Rightarrow A \vec{v} = \vec{0} \Rightarrow \vec{v} \in \ker A^*$$

$$\ker A \subseteq \ker A^*$$

$$(*) \Rightarrow (A^*)^{2023} = A^* A - AA^*, \text{ hence similarly } \ker A^* \subseteq \ker A$$

$$\text{therefore } \ker A = \ker A^*$$

Let $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$ be a basis of $\ker A$ and extend it

(Steiniz's Lemma) to $\{u_1, \dots, u_k, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_n\}$, a basis of \mathbb{C}^n

Using Gram-Schmidt's process, we may assume that

$\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^n

$$\text{Define } P = [\vec{u}_1 \dots \vec{u}_k \vec{u}_{k+1} \dots \vec{u}_n], \quad (P P^*)_{ij} = \vec{u}_i \cdot \vec{u}_j = \delta_{ij} \Rightarrow P P^* = I_n = P^* P$$

$$P^* A P = P^* A [\vec{u}_1 \dots \vec{u}_k \vec{u}_{k+1} \dots \vec{u}_n] = P^* [A u_1 \dots A u_k A u_{k+1} \dots A u_n] =$$

$$P^* [\vec{0} \dots \vec{0} A u_{k+1} \dots A u_n] = P^* A [\vec{0}_{n \times k} X] = (A^* P)^* [\vec{0}_{n \times k} X] =$$

$$[A^* u_1 \dots A^* u_k A^* u_{k+1} \dots A^* u_n]^* [\vec{0}_{n \times k} X] = [\vec{0}_{k \times n}] A [\vec{0}_{n \times k} X] =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ X^* \end{bmatrix} [\vec{0} X] = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{k \times k} & 0_{k \times (n-k)} \\ 0_{(n-k) \times k} & B \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Finally, } P^* A P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow P^* A^* P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B^* \end{bmatrix}$$

this also implies that $\text{rank}(P^* A P) = \text{rank}(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}) \Rightarrow \text{rank } A = \text{rank } B$

but $B \in \mathcal{M}_{(n-k) \times (n-k)}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\text{rank}(A) = n - \dim(\ker(A)) = n - k$

hence $\exists B^*$

$$(*) \quad P^* A^{2023} P = P^* A^* A P - P^* A A^* P \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B^{2023} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B^* \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow$$

$$B^{2023} = B^* B - B B^* \quad (\text{looking at the bottom right}).$$

This equation is identical to the one given, so as we saw previously

the eigenvalues of B are 0, which yields a contradiction, since $\exists B^*$.

But what did we contradict exactly? We implicitly assumed that $k < n$

for B to be well defined, so it turns out that $k=n$

Therefore $\dim(\text{Im}(A)) = \text{rank } A = n - k = 0 \Rightarrow \text{Im}(A) = \{\vec{0}\} \Rightarrow A = \vec{0}_n$

Problem 2

Tuesday, November 18, 2025 1:33 AM

Let's subtract the first row from all odd rows and the second row from all even rows

$$C_n = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & \cdots & b \\ b & a & \cdots & a \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b & a & \cdots & a \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} a & b & \cdots & b \\ b & a & \cdots & a \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{2n \times 2n} \text{ hence the geometric multiplicity of the eigenvalue 0}$$

Thus we can be sure that $\lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = \cdots = \lambda_{2n} = 0$

$$\text{Also } \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \lambda_i = \text{tr}(C_n) \Rightarrow \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 2na$$

$$\text{Consider the vector } \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ then } C_n \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & \cdots & b \\ b & a & \cdots & a \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b & a & \cdots & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} na+nb \\ na+nb \\ \vdots \\ na+nb \end{bmatrix} = n(a+b) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

thus this is an eigenvector of C_n with corresponding eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = n(a+b)$

$$\text{and now } \lambda_2 = 2na - \lambda_1 = n(a-b)$$

The eigenvalues of C_n are $\lambda_1 = n(a+b)$, $\lambda_2 = n(a-b)$, $\lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = \cdots = \lambda_{2n} = 0$

The characteristic polynomial of C_n is $\chi_{C_n}(\lambda) = \lambda^{2n-2}(\lambda - n(a+b))(\lambda - n(a-b))$

$$\text{Finally } \det \begin{bmatrix} c & b & \cdots & b \\ a & c & \cdots & b \\ b & a & \cdots & b \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b & a & \cdots & c \end{bmatrix} = \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b & a & \cdots & b \\ b & a & b & \cdots & a \\ a & b & a & \cdots & b \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b & a & b & \cdots & a \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} a-c & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a-c & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a-c & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a-c \end{bmatrix} \right) = (-1)^{2n} \det((a-c) I_{2n} - C_n)$$

$$\chi_{C_n}(a-c) = (a-c)^{2n-2} (a-c - n(a+b)) (a-c - n(a-b)) = (a-c)^{2n-2} (- (n-1)a - nb - c) (nb - c - (n-1)a)$$

Problem 4

Tuesday, November 18, 2025 1:49 AM

We will try to find a polynomial satisfied by A^2

$$A^4 = \begin{bmatrix} q & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & q & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & q & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q^2+2 & 2q+1 & 2q+1 \\ 2q+1 & q^2+2 & 2q+1 \\ 2q+1 & 2q+1 & q^2+2 \end{bmatrix} = (2q+1) \begin{bmatrix} q & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & q & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & q \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -q^2-q+2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -q^2-q+2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -q^2-q+2 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$(2q+1)A^2 - (q^2+q-2)I$$

hence A^2 satisfies $p(x) = x^2 - (2q+1)x + (q^2+q-2)$

The minimal polynomial of A^2 divides p , hence the eigenvalues of A^2

can only be $\mu = \frac{2q+1 \pm \sqrt{(2q+1)^2 - 4(q^2+q-2)}}{2} = \frac{2q+1 \pm \sqrt{9}}{2} = \begin{cases} q+2 & (+) \\ q-1 & (-) \end{cases}$

but $\text{tr } A^2 = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 \Rightarrow \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 = 3q$, hence $\mu_1 = q+2$, $\mu_2 = \mu_3 = q-1$, $q > 1$

The eigenvalues of A are $\lambda_1 = \pm \sqrt{q+2}$, $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \pm \sqrt{q-1}$,

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_2)(\lambda - \lambda_3) = \lambda^3 - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)\lambda^2 + (\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_1\lambda_3 + \lambda_2\lambda_3)\lambda - \lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3 = 0$$

but $\chi_A(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ hence $\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3 \in \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow \sqrt{q+2}(q-1) \in \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow \sqrt{q+2} \in \mathbb{Q}$

Cayley-Hamilton: $\chi_A(A) = 0 \Rightarrow A^3 - \text{tr } A \cdot A^2 + kA - \det A \cdot I_3 = 0$ ↘

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} A^3 - \text{tr } A \cdot A^2 + kA - \det A \cdot I_3 = 0 \\ A^4 - \text{tr } A \cdot A^3 + kA^2 - \det A \cdot A = 0 \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{(+)} A^4 + [k - (\text{tr } A)^2]A^2 + (k \cdot \text{tr } A - \det A)A - \text{tr } A \cdot \det A \cdot I_3 = 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$A = \frac{1}{k \cdot \text{tr } A - \det A} (\text{tr } A \cdot \det A \cdot I_3 - A^4 - [k - (\text{tr } A)^2]A^2)$$

Problem 9

Saturday, November 22, 2025 7:31 PM

ii) • Firstly, $A^2 - B^2 + x(AB - BA) = y I_n \Rightarrow A^2 - AB + BA - B^2 + AB - BA + x(AB - BA) = y I_n \Rightarrow (A+B)(A-B) + (x+1)(AB - BA) = y I_n \quad (1)$

and $A^2 - B^2 + x(AB - BA) = y I_n \Rightarrow A^2 + AB - BA - B^2 - AB + BA + x(AB - BA) = y I_n \Rightarrow (A-B)(A+B) + (x-1)(AB - BA) = y I_n \quad (2)$

• Let $X = A - B, Y = A + B$, then assuming $x \neq -1$

$$(x-1)(1) - (x+1)(2) \Rightarrow (x-1)YX - (x+1)XY = -2y I_n \Rightarrow XY - \frac{x-1}{x+1} YX = \frac{2y}{x+1} I_n$$

$$\text{Let } \alpha = \frac{x-1}{x+1} \neq 1, \beta = \frac{2y}{x+1}, \text{ then } XY - \alpha YX = \beta I_n \quad (\star)$$

• We will now try to express the characteristic polynomials of $XY - \alpha YX$ in 2 ways.

$$\chi_{XY - \alpha YX}(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I_n - (XY - \alpha YX)) \stackrel{(\star)}{=} \det(\lambda I_n - (\alpha YX + \beta I_n - \alpha YX)) = \det((\lambda - \beta) I_n - (\alpha - 1)YX) =$$

$$(\alpha - 1)^n \det\left(\frac{\lambda - \beta}{\alpha - 1} I_n - YX\right) = (\alpha - 1)^n \chi_{YX}\left(\frac{\lambda - \beta}{\alpha - 1}\right) \quad (I)$$

$$\chi_{XY - \alpha YX}(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I_n - (XY - \alpha YX)) \stackrel{(\star)}{=} \det(\lambda I_n - (XY - \frac{XY - \beta I_n}{\alpha})) = \det\left((\lambda - \frac{\beta}{\alpha}) I_n - \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} XY\right) =$$

$$\frac{(\alpha - 1)^n}{\alpha^n} \det\left(\frac{\alpha \lambda - \beta}{\alpha - 1} I_n - XY\right) = \frac{(\alpha - 1)^n}{\alpha^n} \chi_{XY}\left(\frac{\alpha \lambda - \beta}{\alpha - 1}\right) \quad (II)$$

• Since $\chi_{XY} = \chi_{YX}$, $\frac{(II)}{(I)} \Rightarrow \frac{\chi_{XY - \alpha YX}(\alpha \lambda)}{\chi_{XY - \alpha YX}(\lambda)} = \frac{(\alpha - 1)^n \chi_{YX}\left(\frac{\alpha \lambda - \beta}{\alpha - 1}\right)}{\frac{(\alpha - 1)^n}{\alpha^n} \chi_{XY}\left(\frac{\alpha \lambda - \beta}{\alpha - 1}\right)} = \alpha^n \Rightarrow$

$$\chi_{XY - \alpha YX}(\alpha \lambda) = \alpha^n \chi_{XY - \alpha YX}(\lambda) \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$$

Now letting $\chi_{XY - \alpha YX}(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \lambda^k$,

$$\chi_{XY - \alpha YX}(\alpha \lambda) = \alpha^n \chi_{XY - \alpha YX}(\lambda) \Rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \alpha^k \lambda^k = \alpha^n \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \lambda^k \Rightarrow a_k \alpha^k = \alpha^n a_k \Rightarrow \alpha^k a_k (\alpha^{n-k} - 1) = 0 \quad \forall k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$$

since $\alpha \neq 1$ for $k \neq n$ the above relation implies $a_k = 0 \quad \forall k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, assuming $\alpha \neq 0$

hence since $a_n = 1$, $\chi_{XY - \alpha YX}(\lambda) = \lambda^n$

• But $XY - YX = (A-B)(A+B) - (A+B)(A-B) = A^2 + AB - BA - B^2 - A^2 + AB - BA + B^2 = 2(AB - BA)$

hence since the characteristic polynomial is monic $\chi_{AB-BA}(\lambda) = \chi_{XY-YX}(\lambda) = \lambda^n$

Cayley-Hamilton: $\chi_{AB-BA}(AB-BA) = 0_n \Rightarrow (AB-BA)^n = 0_n$

i) $\det(A^2 - B^2) = \det(yI_n - x(AB - BA)) \xrightarrow{x \neq 0} x^n \det\left(\frac{y}{x}I_n - (AB - BA)\right) = x^n \chi_{AB-BA}\left(\frac{y}{x}\right) = x^n \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^n = y^n$

$$\det(A-B)\det(A+B) = \det((A-B)(A+B)) = \det(A^2 - B^2 + AB - BA) = \det(yI_n - x(AB - BA) + AB - BA) =$$

$$\det(yI_n - (x-1)(AB - BA)) = (x-1)^n \det\left(\frac{y}{x-1}I_n - (AB - BA)\right) = (x-1)^n \chi_{AB-BA}\left(\frac{y}{x-1}\right) = (x-1)^n \left(\frac{y}{x-1}\right)^n = y^n$$

Finally, we have to consider the cases $x = -1$, $x = 0 \Leftrightarrow y = 1$

• $x = 1$, $A^2 - B^2 + AB - BA = yI_n \Rightarrow (A-B)(A+B) = yI_n \Rightarrow A-B = y(A+B)^{-1} \Rightarrow (A+B)(A-B) = yI_n \Rightarrow$

$$A^2 - AB + BA - B^2 = yI_n$$

hence $\begin{cases} A^2 - B^2 + AB - BA = yI_n \\ A^2 - AB + BA - B^2 = yI_n \end{cases} \xrightarrow{\text{sub}} 2(AB - BA) = 0_n \Rightarrow AB = BA$

We now easily see that $(A-B)(A+B) = A^2 - B^2 = yI_n \Rightarrow \det(A-B)\det(A+B) = \det(A^2 - B^2) = y^n$

and $(AB - BA)^n = 0_n$

The proof for $x = -1$ is similar.