The Probabilistic Method - Probabilistic Techniques

Lecture 5: "The Second Moment Method"

Sotiris Nikoletseas Professor

Computer Engineering and Informatics Department 2020 - 2021

Variation of Linearity of Expectation method: Deletion Method

- Method's basic idea: prove existence of a structure "similar" to the desired one and modify it accordingly.
- Examples
 - 1 An improved lower bound for Ramsey numbers.
 - [2] Independent sets (Turán's Theorem, 1941).

The Second Moment

- **1** The Variance of a random variable
- **iii**. The Chebyshev Inequality
- III The Second Moment method
- iv Covariance
- Alternative techniques of estimation of the variance of a sum of indicator variables.
- **vi** Example Cliques of size 4 in random graphs.

Variance:

- is the most vital statistic of a r.v. beyond expectation.
- is defined as $Var[X] = E\left[(X E[X])^2\right]$
- properties:

•
$$Var(X) = E[X^2] - E^2[X]$$

• $Var(cX) = c^2 Var(X), c \text{ constant}$
• $X, Y \text{ independent} \Rightarrow Var[X + Y] = Var[X] + Var[Y]$

Standard deviation:

$$\sigma = \sqrt{Var[X]} \Rightarrow Var[X] = \sigma^2$$

Theorem 1 (Chebyshev Inequality)

Let X be a random variable with expected value μ . Then for any t > 0:

$$\Pr\left[|X - \mu| \ge t\right] \le \frac{Var[X]}{t^2}$$

Proof:

$$\Pr[|X - \mu| \ge t] = \Pr\left[(X - \mu)^2 \ge t^2\right]$$
$$\leq \frac{E\left[(X - \mu)^2\right]}{t^2} = \frac{Var[X]}{t^2}$$

Chebyshev Inequality

Alternative Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var}[X] &= E\left[(X-\mu)^2\right] = \sum_x (x-\mu)^2 \operatorname{Pr}\{X=x\} \\ &\geq \sum_{|x-\mu| \ge t} (x-\mu)^2 \operatorname{Pr}\{X=x\} \\ &\geq \sum_{|x-\mu| \ge t} t^2 \operatorname{Pr}\{X=x\} \\ &= t^2 \sum_{|x-\mu| \ge t} \operatorname{Pr}\{X=x\} = t^2 \operatorname{Pr}\{|X-\mu| \ge t\} \\ &\Rightarrow \operatorname{Pr}\{|X-\mu| \ge t\} \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[X]}{t^2} \end{aligned}$$

if
$$t = \sigma$$
 then $\Pr[|X - \mu| \ge \sigma] \le \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2} = 1$ (trivial bound)
if $t = 2\sigma$ then $\Pr[|X - \mu| \ge 2\sigma] \le \frac{\sigma^2}{(2\sigma)^2} = \frac{1}{4}$
:
if $t = k\sigma$ then $\Pr[|X - \mu| \ge k\sigma] \le \frac{\sigma^2}{(k\sigma)^2} = \frac{1}{k^2}$

In other words, this inequality bounds the concentration of a random variable around its mean.

A small variance implies high concentration.

The Second Moment Method

Theorem 2

For any random variable X it holds that: if $E[X] \to \infty$ and $Var[X] = o(E^2[X])$ then $\Pr\{X = 0\} \to 0$

Proof: Since

$$|X - E[X]| \ge E[X] \Rightarrow \begin{cases} X \ge 2E[X] & \text{or} \\ X \le 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Pr\{X = 0\} \le \Pr\{|X - E[X]| \ge E[X]\} \le_{t = E[X]} \frac{Var[X]}{E^2[X]}$$

 $\text{if } \frac{Var[X]}{E^2[X]} \to 0 \Leftrightarrow Var[X] = o(E^2[X]) \text{ then } \Pr\{X = 0\} \to 0 \ \Box$

So, we need to estimate the variance. Actually, we need to properly bound it in terms of the mean.



Covariance

Let X and Y be random variables. Then

$$Cov(X,Y) = E[XY] - E[X] \cdot E[Y]$$

Remark:

- Covariance is a measure of association between two random variables.
- $\bullet \ \operatorname{Cov}(X,X) = Var[X]$
- if X, Y are independent r.v. then Cov(X, Y) = 0
- $\bullet \ |Cov(X,Y)| \uparrow \quad \Rightarrow \text{stochastic dependence of } X,Y \uparrow$

Theorem 3

Consider a sum of n random variables $X = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$. It holds that:

$$Var[X] = \sum_{1 \le i,j \le n} Cov(X_i, X_j)$$

Remark: The sum is over ordered pairs, i.e. we take both $Cov(X_i, X_j)$ and $Cov(X_j, X_i)$.

The proof is by induction on n. We show the case n = 2:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2} Cov(X_i, X_j) &= Cov(X_1, X_1) + Cov(X_1, X_2) + \\ &+ Cov(X_2, X_1) + Cov(X_2, X_2) = \\ E[X_1^2] - E^2[X_1] + E[X_1X_2] - E[X_1]E[X_2] + E[X_2X_1] - E[X_2]E[X_1] + \\ &+ E[X_2^2] - E^2[X_2] = \\ &= E[X_1^2] + E[X_2^2] + 2E[X_1X_2] - (E^2[X_1] + E^2[X_2] + 2E[X_1]E[X_2]) = \\ &= E\left[X_1^2 + X_2^2 + 2X_1X_2\right] - (E[X_1] + E[X_2])^2 \\ &= E\left[(X_1 + X_2)^2\right] - E^2\left[(X_1 + X_2)\right] = \\ &= Var[X_1 + X_2] \end{split}$$

Covariance

An upper bound of the sum of indicator r.v.

Theorem 4

Let $X_i \ 1 \leq i \leq n$ be indicator random variables.

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & p_i \\ 0 & 1 - p_i \end{cases}$$

Let X be their sum: $X = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$. It holds that:

$$Var[X] \le E[X] + \sum_{1 \le i \ne j \le n} Cov(X_i, X_j)$$

Proof:

$$Var[X] = \sum_{1 \le i,j \le n} Cov(X_i, X_j)$$

$$Cov(X_i, X_i) = E[X_i X_i] - E[X_i] E[X_i] = E\left[(X_i)^2\right] - E^2[X_i] = Var[X_i]$$

$$\begin{aligned} Var[X_{i}] &= (1-p_{i})^{2} \cdot p_{i} + (0-p_{i})^{2} \cdot (1-p_{i}) = p_{i}(1-p_{i}) \leq p_{i} = E[X_{i}] \\ Var[X] &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} Cov(X_{i}, X_{i}) + \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} Cov(X_{i}, X_{j}) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} Var[X_{i}] + \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} Cov(X_{i}, X_{j}) \\ &\leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} E[X_{i}] + \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} Cov(X_{i}, X_{j}) \\ &= E[X] + \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} Cov(X_{i}, X_{j}) \end{aligned}$$

Bounding the Variance

- Suppose that $X = X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n$ where X_i is the indicator r.v. for event A_i .
- For indices i, j we define the operator \sim and write $i \sim j$ if $i \neq j$ and the events A_i and A_j are not independent. (non-trivial dependence)
- We define

$$\Delta = \sum_{i \sim j} \Pr\{A_i \land A_j\}$$

The sum is over ordered pairs.

 $\operatorname{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = E[X_i X_j] - E[X_i] E[X_j] \le E[X_i X_j] = \Pr\{A_i \wedge A_j\}$

$$\Rightarrow Var[X] \le E[X] + \Delta$$

Theorem 5

If
$$E[X] \to \infty$$
 and $\Delta = o(E^2[X])$ then $\Pr\{X = 0\} \to 0$

Proof:

$$\Pr\{X=0\} \le \frac{Var[X]}{E^2[X]} \le \frac{E[X] + \Delta}{E^2[X]} = \frac{1}{E[X]} + \frac{\Delta}{E^2[X]} \to 0$$

Symmetric events: Events A_i and A_j are symmetric if and only if

$$Pr\{X_i|X_j = 1\} = Pr\{X_j|X_i = 1\}$$

- In other words, the conditional probability of a pair of events is independent of the "order" of conditioning.
- Symmetry applies in almost all graphotheoretical properties because of symmetry of corresponding subgraphs which are set of vertices (i.e. the conditioning affects the intersection and depends on its size).

A variation (II)

We define

$$\Delta^* = \sum_{j \sim i} \Pr\{A_j | A_i\}$$

Lemma: $\Delta = \Delta^* \cdot E[X]$ Proof:

$$\Delta = \sum_{i \sim j} \Pr\{A_i \land A_j\} = \sum_{i \sim j} \Pr\{A_i\} \Pr\{A_j | A_i\}$$
$$= \sum_i \sum_{j \sim i} \Pr\{A_i\} \Pr\{A_j | A_i\}$$
$$= \sum_i \Pr\{A_i\} \sum_{j \sim i} \Pr\{A_j | A_i\}$$
$$= \Delta^* \cdot \sum_i \Pr\{A_i\}$$
$$\Rightarrow \Delta = \Delta^* \cdot E[X]$$

Change of previous theorem's condition:

$$\Delta = o(E^{2}[X])$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \Delta^{*} \cdot E[X] = o(E^{2}[X])$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \Delta^{*} = o(E[X])$$

Theorem 6

If
$$E[X] \to \infty$$
 and $\Delta^* = o(E[X])$ then $\Pr\{X = 0\} \to 0$

Definition 1

$$p_o = p_o(n)$$
 is a threshold of property A iff
 $p >> p_o \Rightarrow \Pr\{G_{n,p} \text{ has the property } A \} \to 1$
 $p << p_o \Rightarrow \Pr\{G_{n,p} \text{ has the property } A \} \to 0$

Typical thresholds:

1

Theorem 7

Let A be the property of existence of K_4 cliques in $G_{n,p}$. The threshold function for A is $p_o(n) = n^{-2/3}$.

Proof:

- Let S be any fixed set of 4 vertices.
- Define r.v. X that counts the number of cliques of size 4.
- $X = \sum_{S,|S|=4} X_S$ where X_S is an indicator variable:

$$X_S = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{S is clique} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\bullet E[X_S] = p^6$$

■ By Linearity of expectation

$$E[X] = E\left[\sum_{S,|S|=4} X_S\right] = \sum_{S,|S|=4} E[X_S] = \binom{n}{4} p^6 \sim n^4 p^6$$

- All the X_S are symmetric and so, these values $p >> n^{-2/3}$ must satisfy $\Delta^* = o(E[X])$ where $\Delta^* = \sum_{j \sim i} \Pr\{A_j | A_i\}$. The event A_i is defined as "the set S_i is a clique of size 4"
- $j \sim i$ means that A_i, A_j are not independent and $i \neq j$
- Here, $A_j \sim A_i$ if and only if A_j and A_i have common edges (but less than four edges).
- So, $A_j \sim A_i$ if and only if $|S_i \cap S_j| = 2$ or 3.

Proof of theorem 7

$$\begin{array}{l} ||S_i \cap S_j|| = 2 \\ & \text{ There is only 1 common edge } \Rightarrow \Pr\{A_j|A_i\} = p^5 \\ & \text{ There are } \binom{4}{2} \binom{n-4}{2} = O(n^2) \text{ different ways to choose the set} \\ & S_j \text{ such that } |S_i \cap S_j| = 2. \end{array} \\ \hline \\ 2 ||S_i \cap S_j|| = 3 \\ & \text{ There are 3 common edges so } \Pr\{A_j|A_i\} = p^3 \\ & \text{ There are } (\frac{4}{3}) \binom{n-4}{1} = O(n) \text{ different ways to choose the set} \\ & S_j \text{ such that } |S_i \cap S_j| = 3. \end{array} \\ \Delta^* = \sum_{2 \leq |S_i \cap S_j| \leq 3} \Pr\{A_j|A_i\} = \sum_{|S_i \cap S_j| = 2} \Pr\{A_j|A_i\} + \sum_{|S_i \cap S_j| = 3} \Pr\{A_j|A_i\} \\ & \sim n^2 \cdot p^5 + n \cdot p^3 \end{array}$$

Proof of theorem 7

When $p = n^{-2/3}$ then:

$$\frac{\Delta^*}{E[X]} \sim \frac{n^2 \cdot p^5 + n \cdot p^3}{n^4 \cdot p^6} = \frac{1}{n^2 \cdot p} + \frac{1}{n^3 \cdot p^3} = \frac{1}{n^{\frac{4}{3}}} + \frac{1}{n} \to 0$$

So, indeed, for that value of p we have

$$\Delta^* = o(E[X])$$

and a K_4 exists w.h.p.

 This, obviously holds for larger p values too, because of monotonicity.

П